How to deal with Atrocities Invented and Perpetrated by Public Servants?

John Raven Version Date: 5 July 2018

This country has become permeated by a network of atrocities essentially perpetrated by public servants outwith any framework for legal or political surveillance. What is happening bears an uncanny resemblance to, on the one hand, the ways in which concentration camp guards - and others - in Germany invented ever more all-encompassing, humiliating, and degrading ways of fulfilling directives set by politicians and, on the other, the Soviet secret police network to monitor all conversations and arrange for the imprisonment of those who, in the eyes of a controlling minority, offended secret rules relating to what is in the "public interest".

One set of these atrocities comprise largely mendacious acts designed to flush-out, humiliate, dehumanise, and destroy the lives and livelihoods of, those whose actions are deemed not to be in the public interest. This despite the fact that most have not been charged with, let alone shown to be guilty of, any crime. These actions are generated and enacted in pursuit of Ministerial directives largely issued without public scrutiny whether in parliament or elsewhere.

The other set consists largely of "offences" against what may be termed "extreme politically correct" viewpoints relating to "harming others".

The question is: "What can be done to halt the development of these terrifying trends?"

The list of deeply shocking activities implemented by our public servants includes:

- Activities nominally designed to enforce border controls. See: A guide to the hostile environment The border controls dividing our communities and how we can bring them down https://www.libertyhumanrights.org.uk/sites/default/files/HE%20web.pdf
- The indefinite detention ie imprisonment by another name - but without charge and with no time limit -- but similarly involving loss of freedom, loss of contact with families, and deprivation of income, benefits, and health care of individuals who might, just possibly, be said to be here illegally or for other unstated reasons. https://www.libertyhumanrights.org.uk/immigration-detention-faqs

Asylum seekers, even those who have been here for years, treated to abusive cross-questioning, housed in disgraceful (often very cold in comparison with their country of origin) housing conditions; deprived of help with languages, left to make own health care and educational arrangements even though they do not speak English. Dispersed around the countryside where no access to supporting networks or others in the same situation who could provide information on such things as alternative employment. Deprived of benefits if move:

British Psychological Society, Division of Occupational Psychology (Scotland), reports to last two AGMs.

Amazing play performed by a recipient of the abuse http://www.theatrefullstop.com/2017/08/06/adam-the-traverse-theatre-edinburgh-fringe-festival-2017-review/ (Full script available).

- The manufacture of destitution among street sleepers
 https://www.theguardian.com/society/2018/may/20/homeless-people-fined-imprisoned-pspo-england-wales?utm_source=e
 jsp&utm_medium=Ewhsmail&utm_campaign=GU+Today+main+NEW+H+categorie
 s&utm_term=275557&subid=15983037&CMP=EMCNEWEML6619I2
- Degrading and malevolent treatment of the unemployed: sanctions for failing to meet conditionalities for benefits: absurd requirements re looking for work ... apply for 20 jobs a week ...IT skills required to fill up forms ... accept long travel to bullshit jobs... denial of benefits if don't take job "offers". Manufacture of destitution. Generation of misleading statistics. See: (1) Beyond I Daniel Blake http://eyeonsociety.co.uk/resources/Beyond-Daniel-Blake.pdf (2) http://www.welfareconditionality.ac.uk/publication-category/initial-main-report/
- Seizing on possible minor infringements of bureaucratic rules to deprive people of the right to work, gain health care, and mandatory financial and housing benefits.
 <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2018/may/20/a-life-completely-destroyed-by-one-paragraph-322-immigration-law?utm_source=esp&utm_medium=Email&utm_campaign=GU+Today+main+NE_W+H+categories&utm_term=275557&subid=15983037&CMP=EMCNEWEML6619_I2
- Repeated and high-handed inquisitions into the lives, livelihoods, and family affairs of parents who fail to enact bureaucrat decrees about child care (including enforced attendance at destructive schools and authoritarian inquisitions into the curricula contents of home schooling where regular schooling has already failed) and the subsequent enforcement of commands through threats and punitive requirements ending up with having children "taken into (uncaring) care". See:
 Endnotes to Problems with Closing the Gap Philosophy and Research http://eyeonsociety.co.uk/resources/Closing-the-Gap-2017-V2.pdf and Criminal misapplications of "science", logic, and authority:
 http://eyeonsociety.co.uk/resources/Criminal-misapplications-of-science.pdf
- The imposition of bureaucratically-determined rules relating to the conduct of their work on teachers, social workers, hospital administration etc. thereby destroying their professionalism and ability to respond to individual needs. (See sources for last entry).

- Closure of institutional and other arrangements to cater for the mentally ill resulting in abusive treatment by authorities and consignment to uncaring "carers", the streets, and prison.
- Punitive arrangements arising from inappropriate management arrangements and targets in hospitals and privately funded care which is frequently anything but caring and imposes severe constraints on the carers' lives and livelihoods.
- Return of punitive arrangements in prisons.
- Criminalisation of "drug abusers".
- Criminalisation of those shouting "racist" football slogans, engaging in trivial sex "offences", non-compliance with PC notions of offensive behaviour, watching "pornography", viewing "extreme right" U-tube videos, etc. with no concern for the effects of such criminalisation on the lives and livelihoods of those concerned. See: Madness or worse. http://eyeonsociety.co.uk/resources/Madness.pdf
- Use of Hate Speech and other legislation relating to such things as provoking public
 disorder to criminalise all remarks which just might just possibly offend some
 hypothetical peson to stifle discussion of such things as Islam, the differential
 contributions which different ethnic or religious groups make to crime and other
 social problems both nationally and internationally, or reveal the misconduct of the
 police or other authorities.
 - 1. Katie Hopkins on Tommy Robinson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=grt-c5ka_17
 - 2. Douglas Murray on Tommy Robinson (and the Establishment) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4UoJareHflw
 - 3. Janice Atkinson & others at EU on Tommy Robinson arrest. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LJsODceEWI4
 - 4. Lord Malcolm Pearson: 'Shall We Talk About Islam?' https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=2&v=llqg0gpuGCw
 - 5. Andrew Norfolk (of *The Times*) on 12-year difficulties encountered when exposing, tracing, and publicising the background to the differential contributions which different ethnic and religious make to sex offences. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D7xhNYJV430
 - 6. John Wedger on failure of police to investigate sex crimes.

 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LAMcVqule20. (While Wedger offers no explanation of the cover-ups he describes, and Robinson and many others would attribute them to fear of accusations of racism, not only does the law itself invite confusion (eg by defining sex with anyone under 16 as "rape"), the current conceptual slippage of such terms as (sexually) offensive behaviour to include such things as touching any part of another's body invites authorities to, on the one hand, avoid entering the area lest they themselves get accused of "racism" or "sexism" or, on the other, diving in with zest.)
 - 7. Paul Weston arrested for quoting Churchill on Islam ... "because it might offend someone". https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WB7en-eu0X8
 - 8. Stifling of socially important issues via a Kafkaesque network of police academy-generated guidelines to enable anyone saying anything important to be seized or summarily constrained under police-generated "hate crimes" and "hate incident"

guidelines which have never been publicly discussed and the danger of which would be readily apparent to most people. See

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QQ8WPzu u9U

The question is: How to deal with this pervasive climate?

There is little scope for doing so via the political system since most of the activities stem from (1) Ministerial Directives the issuance of which was never subjected to public scrutiny, (2) enabling legislation which was enacted by politicians either (a) as an outcome of the activities of pressure groups "representing" some minority of the population with little concern for the viewpoints of others, or (b) via legislation enacted via cursory (eg 3 minute) parliamentary "debate" (alongside many other such motions) with little public scrutiny.

Most of the atrocities were set in motion and enacted by public servants at all levels in many public-sector hierarchies (including the "welfare" and employment services, the "educational" service, and the police) and privatised components of these services ... including some of those at the "coal face".

Nevertheless, all of those involved can justify their actions by saying that they were "only doing their job" (a more acceptable version of "only following orders") while the more perceptive say that "it would be more than their job's worth" to expose what is going on.

Currently, most of those performing these actions are invisible.

Many are terrified of the wrath that might be turned on them via the social media for challenging politically correct views or making "remarks that might, just possibly, offend someone". In fact, freedom of speech is severely threatened by the possible repercussions of expressing opinions.

My suggestion is to create a counter-climate which would support whistleblowing and the "naming and shaming" of those involved by associating their names with the relevant actions, particularly when interpreting and enforcing the relevant directives in outrageous ways.

Unfortunately, explaining why the behaviour merits consideration as inappropriate is often itself fraught with difficulties ... consider suggesting that the behaviour of a concentration camp guard is inappropriate in Nazi Germany. Or try saying that the consequences of "upskirting" for those who have nominally been offended are trivial in comparison with the consequences of becoming involved in criminal proceedings relating to such activities – let alone the effects of criminalisation itself – for such offences for those so prosecuted and their families. One is likely to discover that the personal consequences of trying to introduce even a sense of proportion into the discussion of such things are enormous.

This is one reason why "empowering the silent majority" is so important but so difficult. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0zuUXSc0_7s Many of the silent majority are not actually shocked: They are also half believers.

And that is why it is so important to try to create a climate of support for whistle-blowers and heretics who swim against the tide of "public opinion" and the law.

In this context it is alarming that the *Interim Law Commission Report on Protection of Official Data*, while acknowledging the work of *Liberty* in this area, fails to make recommendations to support such work. See: *Comments on the Summary of the Interim Law Commission Report on Protection of Official Data* http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/cp230 protection of official data summary.pdf